

The Republic of Uganda

REPORT ON MONITORING AND EVALUATION OF THE NATIONAL POLICY ON PUBLIC SECTOR MONITORING AND EVALUATION, 2013

<u>Compiled by:</u> Department of Policy Development & Capacity Building, Office of the President-Cabinet Secretariat

KAMPALA

March, 2023

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOR	EWORD	i
1.0	INTRODUCTION	3
2.0	BACKGROUND	3
3.0	PROBLEM STATEMENT	4
4.0	PURPOSE	5
5.0	OBJECTIVES	5
6.0	SCOPE	6
7.0	METHODOLOGY	7
8.0	FINDINGS	9
9.0	CONCLUSION	29
10.0	RECOMMENDATIONS	26

# FOREWORD

Monitoring and evaluation is a fundamental undertaking in assessing the performance of Public Policies. It facilitates identification of what has worked and what has not. This enables generation of interventions to address what has gone wrong so as to enable successful implementation of Public Policies.

Cabinet Secretariat undertakes monitoring and evaluation of selected Public Policies on a quarterly basis to assess their relevance and effectiveness. In Q4 FY 2021/22, the National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation, 2013 was among the Public Policies whose implementation was monitored and evaluated.

Findings from the exercise revealed that the Policy achieved a lot in terms of addressing the stated challenges and realization of the stated objectives. However, it did not clearly articulate the issue of concern, its causes, and effects. In addition lack of a Monitoring and Evaluation Cadre, failure to create a Monitoring and Evaluation budget in the Chart of Accounts affected successful implementation of the Policy.

Among the recommendations made were that: Office of the Prime Minister is advised to conduct a thorough Regulatory Impact on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation to facilitate identification of all issues therein, their causes, effects, logically aligned objectives and actions and the best remedy; Ministry of Public Service should examine the issue of establishing a Monitoring and Evaluation Cadre; and Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development should expedite creation of an M&E budget line in the Chart of Accounts.

In the same vein, I implore all Ministries, Departments and Agencies to regularly undertake monitoring and evaluation of Public Policies and other Government interventions to identify areas for improvement. Clarity in the Problem, objectives and strategic actions during policy and other interventions development process should be emphasised to facilitate effective implementation of the same in addressing public problems.

Deborah Katuramu DEPUTY HEAD OF PUBLIC SERVICE AND DEPUTY SECRETARY TO CABINET

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This report is on monitoring and evaluation of the National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation, 2013. The Policy is domiciled in Office of the Prime Minister. The monitoring and evaluation exercise was carried out by Cabinet Secretariat, Department of Policy Development and Capacity Building in conjunction with Office of the Prime Minister in June, 2022. This report documents the findings of the monitoring and evaluation in terms of effectiveness and relevance of the Policy. It is structured as follows: Introduction; Background; Problem statement; Purpose; Objectives; Scope; Methodology; Findings; Benefits/Impact; Conclusion; and Recommendations.

#### 2.0 BACKGROUND

Public Policies are Government actions or inactions undertaken to address public problems. Monitoring and evaluation is part of the management processes aimed at identifying what has worked and what has not; and taking appropriate actions so as to enable successful implementation of the Policy. Cabinet Secretariat undertakes monitoring and evaluation of selected Public Policies on a quarterly basis to assess their relevance and effectiveness. Since its approval in 2013, the National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation had never been monitored and evaluated thereby creating a knowledge gap in regard to its effectiveness and relevance. It is upon this basis that Cabinet Secretariat undertook a monitoring and evaluation exercise to assess the effectiveness and relevance of the Policy.

#### **3.0 PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Effective Public Policy management requires that monitoring and evaluation of Public Policies is conducted regularly and progress documented on their performance. The National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation, 2013 was developed to guide on effective and efficient monitoring and evaluation of public policies to facilitate their successful implementation for better service delivery. There is however continuous public outcry of poor service delivery by the public which is attributed to inadequate Monitoring and Evaluation among others, hence the need to assess the effectiveness and relevance of the Policy. Monitoring and evaluation of this Policy assesses whether the problem that it was intended to address was addressed; whether its objectives were being achieved; and whether the planned activities were being implemented as planned. Information collected from the exercise will be used as evidence for better decision making on how to conduct monitoring and Evaluation of Public Policies.

### 4.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of the exercise was to collect data on the effectiveness and relevance of the National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation, 2013 so as to inform improvement in its implementation.

# 5.0 **OBJECTIVES**

The objectives of the monitoring exercise were:

- To assess the relevance of the National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation, 2013.
- To assess the extent of change of the problem intended to be addressed by the National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation, 2013.

- iii. To establish the extent of achievement of the objectives of the National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation, 2013.
- iv. To determine whether the activities of the National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation were being implemented as planned.

# 6.0 SCOPE

This section presents the coverage in terms of subject, area and time.

# a) Content

The monitoring and evaluation exercise focused on assessing the effectiveness and relevance of the National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation.

# b) Area of Coverage

The exercise was conducted in selected MDAs and LGs. These were: Ministry of Energy and Mineral Development, Ministry of Public Service, Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development, Ministry of East African Community Affairs, Ministry of Local Governments, Ministry of Lands, Housing and Urban Development, Ministry of Water and Environment, Nakaseke District Local Government, and Mpigi District Local Government.

#### c) Time

The exercise was conducted in June, 2022.

## 7.0 METHODOLOGY

Monitoring and Evaluation was conducted by staff from Cabinet Secretariat and the staff of the Office of the Prime Minister.

Literature review was conducted before the field monitoring activity and contributed to the generation of secondary data. Interviews with officers from Office of the Prime Minister were generate information. The officers conducted to were: Monitoring Commissioner. and Evaluation. Central Government; Commissioner, Monitoring and Evaluation, Local Government; 2 Assistant Commissioners, Monitoring and Evaluation, among others.

Field visits were made to Ministry of Energy and Mineral Development, Ministry of Public Service, Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development, Ministry of East African Community Affairs, Ministry of Lands, Housing and Urban Development, Ministry of Water and Environment, Nakaseke District Local Government, and Mpigi District Local Government to collect adequate data.

# 7.1 Sample and Sampling Method

The sample included Office of the Prime Minister, and other Ministries and District Local Governments as indicated above. The sampling method was purposive since the respondents were knowledgeable on the matter under consideration. The officers were from the Directorate of Monitoring and Evaluation, Office of the Prime Minister; Policy Analysts, Economists, and other officers from Ministries and District Local Governments.

## 7.2 Data Types and Sources

Both primary and secondary data were used in the exercise. Primary data was collected from the Office of the Prime Minister, other Ministries, and District Local Governments; while secondary data was obtained from the National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation, and other reports generated by the Office of the Prime Minister.

### 7.3 Data Collection Methods and Tools

Data was collected through self-administered questionnaires, observations, interview guide and document review.

### 7.4 Data Analysis

Data was analyzed using Microsoft Word and Excel. Presentation of findings was through tables, and narrative for better outlay and understanding.

### 8.0 FINDINGS

This section presents the findings on relevance and effectiveness of the National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation, 2013. Relevance was assessed by analyzing the linkage/ alignment of the Policy activities with the policy problem and objectives. Effectiveness of the Policy was assessed through determining the extent of achievement of the objectives of the Policy and determining whether the activities of the Policy were being implemented or not and therefore leading to addressing the problem or not.

Much as implementation of the Policy was on-going, the layout and design of the Policy had numerous gaps, for it did not

9

clearly articulate the issue of concern, its causes, and effects. The objectives identified did not have corresponding strategic actions/activities to facilitate their effective realization. In addition, the intended outcomes of the Policy were not highlighted. These led to un-systematic implementation of the Policy.

Therefore, the findings herein are based on the Policy as it was designed.

# 8.1 Relevance of the National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation (alignment of the Policy)

This section provides an assessment of whether the objectives and interventions of the Policy were in line with the problem.

Generally, the findings revealed that the actions undertaken were related to the objectives and the problems as stated in the Policy. However, the problem as stated in the Policy did not clearly articulate the issue of concern, its causes, and effects. Additionally, Policy objectives did not have corresponding actions for implementation.

### 8.1.1 Problem, Objectives, and Actions

#### Problem

The National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation, 2013 stated a number of issues which were; gaps in existing legislation and administrative practices with respect to tracking the performance and evaluation of public policies and investments; uneven routine monitoring in scope and quality; sparse evaluation in coverage and use across government; and inadequate planning, monitoring and evaluation in the public sector.

The Policy objectives designed to address the problem were to:

- i. Embed monitoring and evaluation in the management practices of MDAs and LGs.
- Expand the coverage of public policy and programmes that are subjected to rigorous evaluation to ensure policy makers know what works and what doesn't.
- iii. Clarify the roles and responsibilities of the various actors in the assessment of public policies and programmes.

- iv. Strengthen the coordination of public and private institutions in the supply and demand of monitoring and evaluation.
- v. Strengthen the capacities of MDAs and LGs in terms of skilled personnel, requisite infrastructure, and policy environment to manage and implement the policy.

#### Linkage between the problem and the objectives

The problem stated consisted of challenges affecting public sector monitoring and evaluation. The objectives that were developed in relation to the problems/ challenges stated in the Policy were linked for example the issue of inadequate planning, monitoring and evaluation in the public sector was linked to the objective of embedding monitoring and evaluation in the management practices of Ministries Departments and Agencies and Local Governments; and the issue of coverage would be addressed by the objective of expanding the coverage of public policies that are subjected to rigorous evaluation.

However, a problem statement should have a public issue of concern, its causes and effects. It is therefore recommended that

the problem should be clearly stated highlighting the issue/s of concern, the causes and effects of the issue/s. The causes of the issue of concern guide in generating strategic objectives and actions.

The above notwithstanding, in the table below is an assessment of the linkage between Policy objectives and actions undertaken.

Objective	Actions	Observation on	
		the Linkage	
		between objectives	
		and actions	
To embed monitoring and	a) OPM is part	Action (a) is not clear	
evaluation in the	of	on what OPM looks	
management practices of	Development	out for when	
MDAs	Committee	appraising projects in	
	that appraises	the Development	
	MDAs	Committee hence	
	projects linkage could n		
	before ascertained.		
	approval		
	quarterly.	Action (b) is linked to	
	b) OPM is the strategic object		
	conducting	OPM's support to	

**Table 1: Linkage between Objectives and Actions** 

profiling and target setting for MDAs and LGs every beginning of budgeting cycle.clear indicators and setting targets is a foundation for successful monitoring and evaluation.To expand the coverage of public policy and to finance rigorous subjected to rigorous policy makers know what evaluation to ensure policy makers know what expensive and what doesn'tSourcing of funds to finance rigorous to finance rigorous to achieve the stated objective. There was need to clearly indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds.To clarify the roles and prosinities of the policies and programmesReview of the Konitoring and StrategyThe action stated was objective because with assessment of public StrategyTo clarify the roles and programmesReview of the KategyThe action stated was there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility		• 1•	
target setting for MDAs and LGs every beginning of budgeting cycle.setting targets is a foundationTo expand the coverage of public policy and programmes that are evaluation to ensure policy makers know what evaluationsSourcing of funds to finance rigorous because rigorous evaluations are objective. There was not clear on what expensive and time need to clearly indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds.To clarify the roles and responsibilities of the policies and programmesReview of the EvaluationThe action stated was linked to the strategic objective because with assessment of public StrategyTo clarify the roles and policies and programmesReview of the EvaluationThe action stated was linked to the strategic objective because with strategy there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility		indicator	MDAs in generating
forMDAsfoundationforandLGssuccessful monitoringeverybeginning ofbudgetingcycle.To expand the coverageSourcing of fundsThe stated action wasof public policy andto finance rigorousnot clear on whatprogrammesthat areevaluations. This issubjected to rigorousbecause rigorousto achieve the statedobjectiveto ensureevaluations areobjectiveneedto clearlyworks and what doesn'tconsuming.indicate the action(s)to be undertaken withthe sourced funds.To clarify the roles andReview of theArrious actors in theEvaluationpolicies and programmesStrategyreview of theMonitoring andLinked to the strategicobjective because withassessment of publicpolicies and programmesindicate and programmesundertification of whatis to be done foreffective M&E andthere will beidentification of whatis to be done foreffective M&E andthethethethethethethethethethethethethethethethethethethethethethethethe <td></td> <td>· · ·</td> <td></td>		· · ·	
andLGs every beginning of budgeting cycle.successful monitoring and evaluation.To expand the coverage of public policy and programmes that are evaluation to ensure policy makers know what to finance rigorous evaluations and evaluations. This is because rigorous evaluations are evaluations are evaluations are evaluations are evaluations are evaluations are evaluations are evaluations are evaluations are objective. There was need to clearly indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds.To clarify the roles and responsibilities of the policies and programmesReview of the StrategyThe action stated was objective because with assessment of public StrategyTo clarify the roles and policies and programmesReview of the EvaluationThe action strategic objective because with assessment of public StrategyStrategyreview of the Monitoring and EvaluationMonitoring and EvaluationFor the policies and programmesStrategyreview of the Monitoring and EvaluationKere will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibilities		e e	0 0
every beginning of budgeting cycle.and evaluation.To expand the coverage of public policy and programmes that are evaluation to ensure policy makers know what evaluations and what doesn'tSourcing of funds to finance rigorous because rigorous evaluations are evaluations are objective. There was need to clearly indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds.To clarify the roles and responsibilities of the policies and programmesReview of the EvaluationThe action stated was linked to the strategic objective because with review of the StrategyTo clarify the roles and policies and programmesReview of the EvaluationThe action stated was linked to the strategic objective because with review of the Monitoring and Evaluation Strategy there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility		for MDAs	foundation for
beginning of budgeting cycle.beginning of budgeting cycle.To expand the coverage of public policy and programmes that are evaluation to ensure policy makers know what evaluations are policy makers know what expensive and time to for clarify the roles and responsibilities of the policies and programmesSourcing of funds finance rigorous evaluations. This is evaluations are evaluations are evaluations are objective. There was need to clearly indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds.To clarify the roles and sessement of public policies and programmesReview of the EvaluationThe action stated was linked to the strategic objective because with strategy there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility		and LGs	successful monitoring
budgeting cycle.budgeting cycle.To expand the coverage of public policy and programmes that are subjected to rigorous evaluation to ensure policy makers know what works and what doesn'tSourcing of funds to finance rigorous because rigorous evaluations are expensive and time consuming.The stated action was not clear on what exactly was to be done to achieve the stated objective. There was need to clearly indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds.To clarify the roles and responsibilities of the policies and programmesReview of the EvaluationThe action stated was objective because with strategyStrategyreview of the becauseMonitoring and EvaluationMonitoring and EvaluationStrategyreview of the because with review of the because with the action strategy there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility		every	and evaluation.
cycle.To expand the coverage of public policy and programmes that are subjected to rigorous policy makers know what evaluations to ensure policy makers know what works and what doesn'tSourcing of funds to finance rigorous evaluations. This is evaluations are evaluations are objective. There was objective. There was need to clearly indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds.To clarify the roles and responsibilities of the policies and programmesReview of the StrategyThe action stated was to the strategic objective because with review of the StrategyPolicies and programmesStrategyreview of the the moles and to be undertaken with the sourced funds.		beginning of	
To expand the coverage of public policy and programmes that are subjected to rigorous policy makers know what works and what doesn'tSourcing of funds to finance rigorous evaluations. This is evaluations are expensive and time consuming.The stated action was to achieve the stated objective. There was need to clearly indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds.To clarify the roles and responsibilities of the policies and programmesReview of the EvaluationThe action stated was linked to the strategic objective because with review of the StrategyPolicies and programmesStrategyreview of the indicate the action strategy there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility		budgeting	
of public policy and to finance rigorous not clear on what programmes that are evaluations. This is exactly was to be done to achieve the stated objective to achieve the stated objective. There was policy makers know what works and what doesn't consuming. Indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds. To clarify the roles and Review of the responsibilities of the Monitoring and linked to the strategic objective because with assessment of public Strategy there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility works and programmes in the sourced funds.		cycle.	
of public policy and to finance rigorous not clear on what programmes that are evaluations. This is exactly was to be done to achieve the stated objective to rigorous because rigorous to achieve the stated objective. There was policy makers know what works and what doesn't consuming. Indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds. To clarify the roles and responsibilities of the Monitoring and Iniked to the strategic objective because with assessment of public Strategy there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility works and programmes of the to clearly indicate the action for the policies and programmes of the to clearly there will be identification of the to be cleared was to be undertaken with the sourced funds.	To avoid the action	Sourcing of funds	The stated estion was
programmesthatare rigorousevaluations. This is becauseexactly was to be done to achieve the stated objective. There was need to clearly indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds.Toclarify the roles and responsibilities of the various actors in the policies and programmesReview of the EvaluationThe action stated was objective because with review of the Strategypolicies and programmesStrategyreview of the evaluationThe action strategic objective because with review of the strategypolicies and programmesStrategyreview of the evaluationThe action for objective because with review of the strategypolicies and programmesStrategyreview of the evaluationStrategytherewill be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and thethe responsibility		_	
subjected to rigorous evaluation to ensure policy makers know what works and what doesn'tbecause rigorous evaluations are evaluations are objective. There was need to clearly indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds.To clarify the roles and responsibilities of the various actors in the policies and programmesReview of the EvaluationThe action stated was objective because with review of the Monitoring and EvaluationDifference objective various actors in the policies and programmesStrategyreview of the objective because with review of the Monitoring and EvaluationStrategyFreview of the objective because with review of the Monitoring and EvaluationMonitoring and EvaluationIndex and programmesFreview of the Monitoring and EvaluationMonitoring and EvaluationFor the policies and programmesFreview of the Here will be identification of what is to be done for effectiveM&E and the responsibility	1 1 2	Ũ	
evaluationtoensureevaluationsareobjective. There waspolicy makers know what works and what doesn'texpensive and time consuming.needtoclearlyindicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds.Toclarify the roles and responsibilities of the various actors in the policies and programmesReview of the EvaluationThe action stated was objective because with review of the Monitoring treview of the there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility	1 0		5
policy makers know what works and what doesn'texpensive and time consuming.need to clearly indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds.To clarify the roles and responsibilities of the various actors in the policies and programmesReview of the EvaluationThe action stated was objective because with assessment of the the review of the the review of the the review of the the review of the the review of the the the review of the the the review of the the the review of the the the review of the the there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the <br< td=""><td></td><td>· ·</td><td></td></br<>		· ·	
works and what doesn'tconsuming.indicate the action(s) to be undertaken with the sourced funds.To clarify the roles and responsibilities of the various actors in the assessment of public policies and programmesReview of the Honitoring and StrategyThe action stated was linked to the strategic objective because with review of the Monitoring and EvaluationWorks and what doesn'tStrategyNonitoring and EvaluationTo clarify the roles and responsibilities of the various actors in the Bolicies and programmesNonitoring and EvaluationEvaluationStrategyNonitoring and EvaluationEvaluationStrategyHere will identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility			5
To clarify the roles and responsibilities of the various actors in the assessment of public policies and programmesReview of the Monitoring and EvaluationThe action stated was linked to the strategic objective because with review of the Monitoring and EvaluationMonitoring policies and programmesMonitoring trategyand EvaluationKeyley policies and programmesKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley thereKeyley the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the the t	1 0	-	5
Image: constraint of constra	works and what doesn't	consuming.	
To clarify the roles and responsibilities of the various actors in the policies and programmesReview of Monitoring andThe action stated was linked to the strategic objective because with review of Monitoring the Monitoring the Honitoring the Honitoring the the Honitoring the Honitoring the Honitoring the Honitoring the Honitoring the Honitoring the Honitoring the Honitoring Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here Here 			to be undertaken with
responsibilities of the Monitoring and linked to the strategic objective because with assessment of public Strategy of the Monitoring and Evaluation Strategy there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility			the sourced funds.
various actors in the assessment of public policies and programmes Evaluation Strategy because with review of the Monitoring and Evaluation Strategy there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility	To clarify the roles and	Review of the	The action stated was
assessment of public Strategy review of the Monitoring and Evaluation Strategy there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility	responsibilities of the	Monitoring and	linked to the strategic
policies and programmes policies and programmes Evaluation Strategy there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility	various actors in the	Evaluation	objective because with
Evaluation Strategy there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility	assessment of public	Strategy	review of the
there will be identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility	policies and programmes		Monitoring and
identification of what is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility			Evaluation Strategy
is to be done for effective M&E and the responsibility			there will be
effective M&E and the responsibility			identification of what
the responsibility			is to be done for
			effective M&E and
			the responsibility
centers would be			centers would be

		clarified further.
To strengthen the	More dialogues	The stated action
coordination of public	like evaluation	indicated more
and private institutions in	week where	engagement with the
the supply and demand of	evaluators i.e.	public and private
monitoring and evaluation	suppliers of	institutions in the
	evaluations	supply and demand of
	interface with	monitoring and
	users or demand	evaluation which to a
	side of evaluations	great extent would
		strengthen
		coordination. The
		action was therefore
		linked to the objective.
To strengthen the	More partnerships	The stated activity
capacities of MDAs and		was not clear on what
LGs in terms of skilled		exactly was to be done
personnel, requisite		to achieve the stated
infrastructure, and policy		objective.
environment to manage		
and implement the policy		

50% of the stated actions were clear on what exactly was to be done to achieve the stated objectives and address the stated issues, therefore there was a linkage.

•

# 8.2 Effectiveness of the National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation

This section presents details of the assessment of the effectiveness of the Policy in terms of changes in the problem, achievement of Policy objectives and implementation of planned activities.

#### 8.2.1 Changes in the Problem

The National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation, 2013 did not clearly state the issue/s of concern, its causes and effects. However, it highlighted a number of challenges which were; gaps in existing legislation and administrative practices with respect to tracking the performance and evaluation of public policies and investments; uneven routine monitoring in scope and quality; sparse evaluation in coverage and use across government; and inadequate planning, monitoring and evaluation in the public sector.

The table below indicates changes in the problem as a result of implementation of the Policy.

Challenge	Status	
Gaps in existing legislation and	i. 7	The NDP III clearly
administrative practices with	C	defined how monitoring
respect to tracking the	3	and evaluation of public
performance and evaluation of	I	policies, programs,
public policies and investments	S	strategies and projects
	S	should be undertaken.
	ii. T	The Public Finance
	I	Management Act, 2015
	ι	under sections, 21, and 50
	e	emphasised issues of
	1	reporting and
	I	performance review as
	1	means of public sector
	1	monitoring and
	e	evaluation.
	iii. 4	A draft Scheme of
	C L	Service for the
	I	Monitoring and
	1	Evaluation Cadre was
	I	prepared by Office of the
	1	Prime Minister and
	S	submitted to Ministry of
	]	Public Service for

 Table 2: Changes in the Problem

					approval.
Uneven	routine	monitoring	in	i.	A regular reporting
scope and	d quality				system by MDAs and
					LGs was developed.
					Performance reporting on
					different projects and
					programs is done by
					MDAs on a quarterly
					basis and submitted to
					OPM and MoFPED.
				ii.	Initially, the main
					deliverable was
					Government Annual
					Performance Report;
					however, it has been
					expanded to about 60% of
					Local Governments. This
					is through Quarterly
					Barazas, and Local
					Government Spot-checks
					by OPM.
				iii.	The scope of coverage of
					public sector monitoring

	and evaluation has
	expanded to Public Sector
	Organisations such as all
	Public Universities.
Sparse evaluation in coverage	i. The evaluations are very
	limited due to the huge
	sums of funds required
	which are not provided by
	MoFPED.
Use of M&E recommendations	There is increasing use of M&E
across government	recommendations, for example;
	i. The Strategy for Private
	6,
	Sector Development was
	developed basing on
	M&E recommendations.
	ii. The M&E
	recommendations also
	guide on distribution of
	the Development Grants
	in Local Governments.
	iii. M&E recommendations
	on NUSAF II informed
	development of NUSAF

	III and IV.	
	iv. OPM is developing a	
	National Evaluation	
	Agenda where the various	
	public projects and	
	programs will be	
	scheduled for monitoring	
	their implementation.	
Inadequate planning, monitoring	M&E in the public sector was	
and evaluation in the public sector	adequately planned in the sectoral	
	approach to planning through	
	sector secretariats. However, there	
	is inadequate planning and	
	budgeting for M&E in the	
	program based approach to	
	planning and budgeting due to	
	limited coordination.	
L	1	

Office of the Prime Minister has made significant strides in addressing the above challenges. However, inadequacies in funds and M&E human resources were key constraints to effective addressing of the challenges.

# 8.2.2 Achievement of Policy Objectives

The analysis in Table 3 below indicates the status of achievement of the Policy objectives.

Objective	Status		
To embed monitoring	OPM is part of Development Committee that		
and evaluation in the	appraises MDAs projects before approval. It		
management practices	ensures that Projects have; project concepts,		
of MDAs	profiles, log frames, results chain, theory of		
	change, indicators, targets, data management		
	plan, and evaluation plans; all of which		
	facilitate effective monitoring and evaluation		
	in the public sector.		
	OPM has been conducting indicator profiling		
	and target setting for MDAs and LGs		
To expand the	48 rigorous evaluations have been conducted		
coverage of public	e.g. School Facilities Grant (SFG) in Primary		
policy and	Education, Baraza impact evaluation, Dairy		
programmes that are	Development Authority (was on-going during		
subjected to rigorous	the time of monitoring), Vegetable Oil		
evaluation to ensure	Development Project.		
policy makers know			
what works and what			
doesn't			
To clarify the roles	This was done through the M&E		
and responsibilities of	Operationalization Strategy. It states clearly		
the various actors in	the roles of the different actors.		
the assessment of			

public policies and programmes	Additionally, NDP III Chapter 25 clearly articulates the roles and responsibilities of key stakeholders in M&E of public policies and programmes.
-	This has been done through evaluation conferences like evaluation week where evaluators i.e. suppliers of evaluations interface with users or demand side of evaluations. OPM in conjunction with Makerere University Kampala introduced a Post- graduate Course on Impact Evaluation.
Ŭ	organisations like, 3IE, International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance and International Program for Development

From the information provided above, there is significant progress made in achievement of the stated objectives inspite of lack of a clear problem. Details of some monitored and evaluated public interventions are attached in the Annex herein.

# 8.3 Supportive Factors for the Achievements Registered

Factors that supported achievement of Policy objectives were:

- Support from Cabinet in terms of approving requests arising from public sector monitoring and evaluation by the Office of the Prime Minister.
- ii. Enhanced appreciation of the essence of monitoring and evaluation by MDAs.
- iii. Enhanced coordination between MDAs and Local Governments.

# 8.4 Benefits/ Impact of Implementation of the Policy

The benefits shared by the respondents included;

 Improved service delivery for example in health and education arising from Barazas where public officers' performance is assessed by the citizens (recipients of public services). For example in Ngoma, Nakaseke District, citizens indicated that service delivery from public servants had improved after conducting a Baraza in the area by the Office of the Prime Minister.

- ii. Reduced loss of public funds due to enhanced efficiency in public service delivery.
- iii. Enhanced decision making on public interventions as a result of monitoring and findings and recommendations.
  Findings of some Strategies, Policies, Programmes, and Programmes that have been monitored as a result of the Policy are attached to this Report as *Annex 1*.

# 8.5 Challenges Faced During Implementation of the Policy

The challenges faced during implementation of the Policy included:

- i. Delayed establishment of the Monitoring and Evaluation Cadre by Ministry of Public Service.
- ii. Coordination in carrying out and implementation of monitoring and evaluation findings is still weak.
- iii. Underutilization of monitoring and evaluation report findings.
- iv. Limited skills in monitoring and evaluation.
- v. Inadequate tools such as vehicles to carry out monitoring and evaluation.

# **8.6** Proposals to Address the Challenges

There is need to:

- i. Fast-track establishment of the Monitoring and Evaluation Cadre in Public Service.
- ii. Strengthen coordination in carrying out monitoring and evaluation and implementation of its findings.
- iii. Build capacity of public officers in monitoring and evaluation.
- iv. Provide adequate tools required to undertake monitoring and evaluation.

## 9.0 CONCLUSION

The National Policy on Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation, 2013 has been effective in addressing the stated challenges and realization of the stated objectives. As designed, the Policy was relevant since the Policy objectives and activities undertaken were linked to the stated challenges. However, the Policy did not clearly articulate the issue of concern, its causes, and effects. This affected generation of systematic objectives, actions and outcomes since they are derived from main causes, sub-causes and effects of the issue respectively. In addition lack of a Monitoring and Evaluation Cadre, failure to create a Monitoring and Evaluation budget in the chart of accounts affected successful implementation of the Policy.

# **10.0 RECOMMENDATIONS**

The recommendations for better performance of the policy were;

- i. Office of the Prime Minister should conduct a Regulatory Impact Assessment of Public Sector Monitoring and Evaluation to facilitate identification of all issues therein, their causes, effects, logically aligned objectives and actions and the best remedy.
- ii. Government should increase funding to enable Ministries, Departments and Local Governments obtain the necessary inputs for monitoring and evaluation of public policies, projects and programmes.
- Ministry of Public Service should examine the issue of establishing a Monitoring and Evaluation Cadre in Public Service.
- iv. Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development should expedite creation of an M&E budget line in the Chart of Accounts.
- v. Top managements of MDAs and Local Governments should utilize monitoring and evaluation findings to improve implementation of public policies and public interventions.

# Annex: Findings of some Strategies, Policies, Programmes, and Projects that have been monitored as a result of the Policy

Policy/Programme / Project	When it was last Monit ored	Key findings and recommend ations	Action(s) taken	Outcomes/c urrent status
Agriculture financing				
1. Agricultural credit facility projects	Januar y 2022	Issue: Regional and gender inequalities in access to credit with Access to Account Credit Facility being highest in Central Uganda and lowest in Eastern and Northern Uganda. Similarly, the male is	(BoU) restructure d the Account Credit Facility and introduced the block allocation which allows access to credit with more relaxed	March 2022, the largest number of beneficiaries according to the Q3 BoU Draft report was from the North. The only downside is that the maximum

Policy/Programme / Project	When it was last Monit ored	recommend	taken of Kibanja letter instead	Outcomes/c urrent status
		Northern Uganda. Recommend ation: Affirmative action to the Northern and Eastern regions.		

Policy/Programme / Project	When it was last Monit ored	Key findings and recommend ations	Action(s) taken	Outcomes/c urrent status
2. Agro- processing industries funded under Uganda Development Co- opoeration	Januar y 2022	By 31 <sup>st</sup> December 2021, the Uganda Development Corporation (UDC) was at varying stages of capitalizing nine companies in the different regions of the country to promote agro- processing industries, namely: Soroti Fruit Factory (Teso); Kigezi Highland Tea Ltd	Increased supervision	

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings	Action(s)	Outcomes/c
/	it was		taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		(Kigezi);		
		Mabale Tea		
		Factory		
		(Tooro);		
		Mutuma		
		Commercial		
		Agencies		
		(Eastern);		
		Atiak Sugar		
		Factory		
		(Acholi);		
		Kayonza Tea		
		Factory		
		(Western);		
		Mpanga Tea		
		Growers		
		Factory		
		(Tooro);		
		Bukona		
		Agro-		
		Processors		
		Ltd		
		(Northern);		
		and Kaaro		
		Koffi Ltd		
		(Western).		

Policy/Programme / Project	When it was last Monit ored	Key findings and recommend ations	Action(s) taken	Outcomes/c urrent status
		Six additional project investments were in the pipeline to process anti- tick vaccines, coffee, cassava, tea and fruits.		
		The operationaliz ation of investment projects was slow and stalled in some cases due to several challenges. Some of the projects had		

Policy/Programme		Key findings		Outcomes/c
/	it was	and	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		started while		
		others were		
		not in		
		operation.		
		i) Disjoin		
		ted		
		efforts		
		among		
		govern		
		ment		
		financi		
		ng		
		avenue		
		S		
		exempl		
		ified by		
		the		
		delayed		
		access		
		of		
		funds		
		to		
		Bukona		
		agro		
		process		
		ors		
		leading		
		to the		

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings		Outcomes/c
/	it was	and	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		tempor		
		ary use		
		of		
		funds		
		ear		
		marked		
		for		
		procure		
		ment of		
		equipm		
		ent for		
		operati		
		onal		
		purpos		
		es. In		
		additio		
		n, the		
		Uganda		
		Develo		
		pment		
		Bank		
		has no		
		well-		
		articula		
		ted		
		MoU		
		betwee		
		n the		
		other		
		GoU		

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings		Outcomes/c
/	it was	and	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		financi		
		ng		
		modalit		
		ies		
		such as		
		ACF		
		under		
		the		
		Bank of		
		Uganda		
		i) Limited		
		indepe		
		ndence of the		
		Uganda Develo		
		pment		
		Corpor		
		ation in		
		technic		
		al		
		decisio		
		ns on		
		which		
		invest		
		ment is		
		ready		
		for		
		takeoff		
		to		

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings	Action(s)	Outcomes/c
/	it was	and	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		ensure		
		realizat		
		ion of		
		value		
		for		
		money		
		and		
		reducti		
		on in		
		unspen		
		t funds		
		at the		
		end of		
		the		
		Financi		
		al Year.		
		This		
		was		
		demons		
		trated		
		by the		
		low		
		spendi		
		ng as		
		availabl		
		e funds		
		were		
		earmar		
		ked for		
		specific		

Policy/Programme / Project	When it was last Monit ored	Key findings and recommend ations project s that were not invest ment ready.	Action(s) taken	Outcomes/c urrent status
AgricultureProductionProductivity				
1. Government purchases(N AADS/OWC)	Januar y 2022	Issue: Low production and yields at the farm level due to distribution of low quality seeds especially through the NAADS	National Agriculture Advisory Services (NAADS) has a quality assurance department to pre-	

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings		Outcomes/c
/	it was	and	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		Recommend	carry out	quality
		ation:	laboratory	assurance
		Strengthen	analysis on	measures
		quality	germinatio	undertaken
		assurance	n and	
		and control	survival	
		of all agro-	rates.	
		inputs		
		including	The	
		certification	District	
		of agro-input		
		dealers	Officer and	
			extension	
			workers	
			carry out	
			germinatio	
			n tests and	
			also	
			monitor	
			survival	
			rates	
2. Agriculture	Januar	Issue: Lack		
research,	y 2022	of irrigation		for
generation		facilities at	11	production
and		the NARO	the	is now
production of		and ZARDIs	research	available
technologies		Issue: Lower		though at a
		technology	with water	small scale
		generation	for	at the
		and research	production	Agriculture

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings		Outcomes/c
/	it was	and	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		due to	through for	Research
		inadequate	instance	Institutions.
		funding and	Agriculture	
		staff	Cluster	Some other
		especially in	Developme	institutions
		new	nt Project	such as
		emerging	has	National
		areas such as	installed	Semi Arid
		agri-	demonstrat	Resources
		business,	ion systems	Institute
		value		Uganda lack
		addition and		irrigation
		technology	rolled out	facilities to
		promotion.	the	support
			programme	research,
		Issue: Lack		technology
		of synergy	0	developmen
		and	and	t and
		collaboration	Budgeting	promotion
		between	to increase	
		implementin	synergies	The majority
		g agencies		of the agro-
		leading to		industrializa
		resource		tion votes
		wastage.		continue to
				work in
		Recommend		silos thus
		ations:		affecting the
		i) GoU		achievement
		should		of the

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings	Action(s)	Outcomes/c
/	it was	and	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		prioriti		intended
		se		objectives.
		provisi		
		on of		
		water		
		for		
		produc		
		tion in		
		researc		
		h		
		institut		
		ions		
		N		
		ii) The		
		Ministr		
		y of		
		Agricul		
		ture,		
		Animal		
		Industr		
		y and		
		Fisheri		
		es		
		(MAAI		
		F) and		
		implem		
		enting		
		agencie		
		S		
		should		

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings	Action(s)	Outcomes/c
/	it was		taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		review		
		and re-		
		align		
		staff		
		placem		
		ent		
		with		
		the		
		progra		
		mme		
		approa		
		ch,		
		paying		
		attenti		
		on to		
		new		
		emergi		
		ng		
		areas in		
		the		
		value		
		chains.		
		iii) T		
		he		
		MAAIF		
		and		
		agencie		
		S		
		should		

Policy/Programme		Key findings		Outcomes/c
/	it was	and	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		strengt		
		hen		
		inter-		
		agency		
		collabo		
		ration		
		on		
		areas of		
		shared		
		interest		
		to		
		reduce		
		resourc		
		e		
		wastag		
		e.		
Agricultural	Januar	Issue:	The	Access to
Extension	y 2022	Staffing of	MAAIF in	extension
		extension	collaboratio	services by
		workers at	n with the	farmers has
		national level	DLGs	improved,
		was lower at	provided	although the
		3,790 (77%)	-	number of
		compared to	Ū.	extension
		the staffing	-	workers
				remain

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings	Action(s)	Outcomes/c
/	it was	and	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		norms of		below the
		$4898^{1}$ . This	workers.	staffing
		is similarly	Technical	norms.
		lower than	and routine	
		the	support	
		recommende	supervision	
		d ratio	was	
		(1:1800) -	undertaken	
		one	during the	
		extension	period	
		worker to	under	
		1800	review. The	
		households	ministry	
		in Uganda	established	
		where there	the model	
		are 8.933	farmers in	
		million	various	
		households <sup>2</sup> .	districts as	
		Extension	part of the	
		outreach was		
		low due to	enhance	
		inadequate	access to	
		transport	agriculture	
		means and		
		equipment	services.	
			Recruitmen	
		extension	t of	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The staffing levels were as 1<sup>st</sup> July 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> UBOS (2021), Uganda National Household Survey FY2019/20

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings	Action(s)	Outcomes/c
	it was	and	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend	canen	status
110jeee	Monit	ations		Status
	ored	acions		
	orca	workers	extension	
		workers	workers	
			Was	
		Recommend		
		ation: The	0 0	
		MAAIF and		
		Agencies to		
		improve	with more	
		-	vehicles	
		deployment		
		and skilling		
		of extension	•	
		workers in		
		the country		
		and country	The UCDA	
			and CDO	
			deployed	
			more	
			extension	
			workers for	
			coffee and	
			cotton	
			targeted	
			extension	
			services.	
Agricultural	Januar		By 31 <sup>st</sup>	There has
Infrastructure	y 2022		, December	been
mechanization and		lssues: Low		increased
Water for		production	dams and	access at
production				farm level to

Policy/Programme	When it was	Key findings and	Action(s) taken	Outcomes/c urrent
Project	last	recommend	carcin	status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
programme		and productivity due to harsh weather conditions, inadequate water for production facilities and non- functionality of some of the established infrastructur es. MAAIF is developing the Regional Mechanizati on workshops. The progress of works is	deep and shallow wells for	water for production, leading to improved production and productivity However, many areas remain water stressed as the outreach of the intervention s is low
		however	Uganda Intergovern	
	<u> </u>	l	mergovern	

Policy/Programme / Project	When it was last Monit ored	Key findings and recommend ations	Action(s) taken	Outcomes/c urrent status
		behind schedule and this is affecting timely availability of equipment for land clearance, excavation of valley dams, construction of farm access roads among others. Recommend ation: The MAAIF and Agencies to increase investment	mental Fiscal Transfer Program to demonstrate coffee and horticulture enterprises. Slow performanc e of the UGIFT program was noted, in terms of roll out to farmers. Developme nt of Regional Mechanizat ion workshops commence d and were at various	
		and excess		

Policy/Programme / Project	When it was last Monit ored	Key findings and recommend ations	Action(s) taken	Outcomes/c urrent status
		to irrigation facilities at farm level and fast track completion of the Regional mechanizatio n Centres	levels of completion. The civil works at Buwama in Mpigi was at 90% completion, Mbale was at 60% complete, while Bushenyi, and Kiryandong o was at design stage. The National Referral Centre in Namalele in Wakiso and the Agwata	

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings	Action(s)	Outcomes/c
/	it was	,	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
			station in	
			Dokolo was	
			renovated	
			as at 31 <sup>st</sup>	
			December	
			2021. The	
			works at	
			Mbale	
			however	
			had stalled	
			and the	
			contractor	
			was off site.	
			This was	
			attributed	
			to delayed	
			payments	
			to the	
			service	
			providers.	
Meat Export	June		The MAAIF	All the
Support Services	2021	lssues:	completed	monitored
		Construction	constructio	farmers in
		of	n of	Sembabule

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings	Action(s)	Outcomes/c
/	it was	and	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		Ruhengyere	Nshaara	district had
		Holding	Holding	received 50
		Ground was	Ground to	goats each
		halted at	support the	at no cost
		10%	private	and they
		completion	sector that	were of
		as the land	is engaged	good
		on which the	in sale of	quality. The
		facility was	high end	main
		established	products.	challenge
		was		was that
		occupied by	A total of	very few
		to an Army	2,481 local	farmers had
		Barracks (10	Mubende	benefitted
		square miles)	goats and	from the
		and part of	1400 doses	project
		the land was	of multivax	given the
		claimed and	were	small
		occupied by	purchased	budget
		an individual	and	allocated
		(5 square	distributed	
		miles). The	to 17	The
		funds that	farmers in	challenge of
		had been	Sembabule	delayed
		allocated to	district, the	disburseme
		completing	distributed	nts from
		Ruhengyere	goats were	MAAIF to
		holding	pre-	spending

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings	Action(s)	Outcomes/c
	it was	,	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		ground were	treated,	agencies still
		diverted to	sprayed,	continues
		maintenance	dewormed,	
		of the	tagged and	
		Kyankwanzi	were	
		and Katonga	inspected	
		farms and	by the	
		procurement	district	
		of assorted	veterinary	
		laboratory	officer prior	
		equipment	to	
		and reagents	distribution	
			and	
		Delayed	delivery to	
		disbursemen	farmers	
		ts where		
		approvals		
		take 1-2		
		months thus		
		leading to		
		delayed		
		implementat		
		ion of		
		activities.		
		The Goat		
		Roll Project		
		received the		
		bulk of funds		

Policy/Programme		Key findings		Outcomes/c
/	it was		taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		(Ug shs		
		807.201 m)		
		at the end of		
		June 2021.		
		These funds		
		were not		
		spent by the		
		end of the FY		
		Recommend		
		ations:		
		i) The		
		MAAIF		
		should		
		ensure		
		that		
		funds		
		are		
		disburs		
		ed to		
		implem		
		enting		
		depart		
		ments		
		in time		
		and the		
		necess		
		ary		

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings	Action(s)	Outcomes/c
/	it was	and	taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		approv		
		als		
		expedit		
		ed		
		timely		
		by the		
		Accoun		
		ting		
		Officer.		
		ii) The		
		MAAIF		
		in		
		collabo		
		ration		
		with		
		the		
		Uganda		
		Land		
		Commi		
		ssion		
		and		
		Courts		
		of Law		
		should		
		resolve		
		the		
		land		
		wrangl		

Policy/Programme / Project	When it was last Monit ored	Key findings and recommend ations es and title public land.	Action(s) taken	Outcomes/c urrent status
Storage, Agro-proce	essing an	d Value Additi	ion	
1. Agriculture Cluster Development Project	Januar y 2022	Issue: The project enhanced access to agricultural inputs at an affordable cost as a result of the	ſ	women in
		GoU subsidy. There is greater ownership of the inputs and technologies due to the	production, agro- processing and value addition. There is limited deliberate affirmative	system is not effectively operating due contractual disagreemen ts between GoU and UBA the

Policy/Programme / Project	When it was last Monit ored	Key findings and recommend ations	Action(s) taken	Outcomes/c urrent status
		co-payment from the farmers. Overall the male dominated the female in the female in the female in the e- voucher system of enrolment, order placement and receipt of the inputs except in Apac, Tororo and Gulu districts out of the 57 districts.	bring to parity the ACDP beneficiarie s	

Policy/Programme / Project	When it was last Monit ored	Key findings and recommend ations	Action(s) taken	Outcomes/c urrent status
		voucher subsidy to increase access and use of inputs. The ACDP should institute deliberate efforts to lead to parity among men and women in access to inputs.		District Agriculture officer – Mbale DLG
Agricultural Market 1. Markets and Agricultural Trade Improvement Programme Phase 2 (MATIP-2)	1	and Competiti Nine out of 12 markets that were redeveloped by Ministry of Local Government (MOLG)	No action made. To be considered for new markets to	enhanced access for sell of

Policy/Programme		Key findings		Outcomes/c
/	it was		taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored	1 1		. 1
		under the		unoccupied.
		Markets and		
		Agricultural		
		Trade		
		Improvemen		
		t Programme		
		Phase 2		
		(MATIP-2)		
		were		
		completed		
		and in use,		
		especially by		
		traders of		
		agricultural		
		produce.		
		Functionalit		
		y of markets		
		in use was		
		however		
		lower due to:		
		poor		
		infrastructur		
		e designs		
		that made it		
		difficult for		

Policy/Programme	When	Key findings	Action(s)	Outcomes/c
/	it was		taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored	1.		
		clients to		
		reach the		
		food stalls;		
		and lack of		
		shades to		
		protect the		
		perishable		
		products		
		from		
		weather		
		vagaries		
		leading to		
		high post-		
		harvest		
		losses.		
		Recommend		
		ation:		
		1. The		
		MoLG		
		should		
		review		
		future		
		markets		
		under		
		developm		
		ent to		

Policy/Programme / Project	When it was last Monit ored	Key findings and recommend ations	Action(s) taken	Outcomes/c urrent status
		ensure that the facilities are appropria te to meet the needs of traders.		
Institutional Streng Parish Development model	thening Januar y 2022	Institutional Strengthenin g and Coordinatio n poorly performed	The PDM guidelines were launched in February 2022. The Parish	chiefs are in place. Mobilizatio ns ongoing in preparation for the roll

Policy/Programme / Project	When it was last Monit ored	Key findings and recommend ations	Action(s) taken	Outcomes/c urrent status
		Development Model was off track. Data was however collected with support from MAAIF to support from MAAIF to support policy and coordination in various local docal governments fhe delayed commencem ent of implementati on of the PDM weakens the GoU efforts of Strengthenin	has provided additional funding in FY2022/23 to fast track rolling	

Policy/Programme		, 0		Outcomes/c
/	it was		taken	urrent
Project	last	recommend		status
	Monit	ations		
	ored			
		Institutional		
		Coordinatio		
		n for		
		Improved		
		Service		
		Delivery.		
		Recommend		
		ations		
		The DLG		
		should fast-		
		track		
		implementati		
		on of the		
		activities		